

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/532,026	04/21/2005	Yves-Marie Morgan	33901-174PUS	6761
7590 06/29/2009 Thomas Langer Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane 551 Fifth Avenue Suite 1210 New York, NY 10176			EXAMINER	
			PEREZ, JAMES M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532.026 MORGAN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit JAMES M. PEREZ 2611 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JAMES M. PEREZ. (3) (2) BRADELY MARAZAS (Reg: 51,997). (4)____. Date of Interview: 23 June 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8. Identification of prior art discussed: Okazaki, Kennedy, and Primrose. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Cited figure 4 of Okazaki as whole represents a blind equalizer, and the claimed addition/elimination device is additional circuitry which mitigates the synchronization error at the output of a blind equalizer thus solving a known problem of time slippage (loss of timing phenomena page 2, lines 33-35 of the instant specification) in blind equalizers. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.